

## Mathematical Logic

## HOMEWORK 4

Due: Mar 13 (Wed)

1. Let  $\sigma \subseteq \sigma'$  be signatures. Prove that if a  $\sigma$ -structure  $A := (A, \sigma)$  is a reduct of a  $\sigma'$ -structure  $A' := (A, \sigma')$ , then for every  $\sigma$ -formula  $\varphi(\vec{v})$  and  $\vec{a} \in A^n$ ,

$$A \models \varphi(\vec{a}) \text{ if and only if } A' \models \varphi(\vec{a}).$$

2. For each  $\sigma$ -structure  $A$  and  $P \subseteq A$ , the collection  $\text{Def}_A(P)$  of  $P$ -definable sets of  $A$  is the smallest  $P$ -constructively closed collection containing
- the constant singletons:  $\{c^A\}$  for each  $c \in \text{Const}(\sigma)$ ;
  - the graphs of functions:  $\text{Graph}(f^A)$  for each  $f \in \text{Func}(\sigma)$ ;
  - the relations  $R^A$  for each  $R \in \text{Rel}(\sigma)$  and the equality relation (i.e. the diagonal in  $A^2$ ).
3. Let  $\sigma$  be a finite signature without function symbols (e.g. the signature for graphs).
- (a) Prove that for each satisfiable existential  $\sigma$ -sentence  $\varphi$  there is a finite collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of finite  $\sigma$ -structures such that for every  $\sigma$ -structure  $A$ , we have  $A \models \varphi$  if and only if  $A$  has a substructure isomorphic to one in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (b) Deduce the dual statement for universal formulas: for each universal  $\sigma$ -sentence  $\varphi$  there is a finite collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of finite  $\sigma$ -structures (**forbidden patterns**) such that for every  $\sigma$ -structure  $A$ , we have  $A \models \varphi$  if and only if no substructure of  $A$  is isomorphic to one in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (c) [Optional] Recall that a (simple) graph is called **planar** if it can be drawn on the plane without any two edges intersecting (more precisely, embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as a topological space). This definition itself is not first-order, nevertheless the class of planar graphs is axiomatizable due to [Kuratowski's theorem](#). Show that in fact, the class of planar graphs is axiomatizable by a **universal theory**, i.e. a theory containing only universal sentences.

4. Prove that for a  $\sigma$ -theory  $T$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $T$  is semantically  $\sigma$ -complete.  
 (2)  $\text{Th}(A) = \text{Thm}_\sigma(T)$  or each  $\sigma$ -structure  $A \models T$ , where

$$\text{Thm}_\sigma(T) := \{\varphi \in \text{Sentences}(\sigma) : T \models \varphi\}$$

is the set **theorems of  $T$** .

- (3)  $A \equiv B$  for all  $\sigma$ -structures  $A, B \models T$ .

5. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and abbreviate  $\dot{n} := \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_n$ . Prove:

(a) For each prime  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and a natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , prove that

$$\text{FIELDS}_p \models \dot{n} = \dot{r},$$

where  $r$  is the remainder of the division of  $n$  by  $p$ .

(b)  $\text{FIELDS}_0 \models \dot{n} \neq 0$ .

6. Prove:

(a)  $\text{PA} \models \forall x \forall y \forall z [(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)]$ ,

(b)  $\text{PA} \models \forall x (0 + x = x)$ ,

(c)  $\text{PA} \models \forall x \forall y (x + y = y + x)$ .

CAUTION: PA has **many** models different from  $\mathbb{N}$ , even uncountable ones.